

[REDACTED]
To: [Manston Airport](#)
Subject: Fwd: Manston "airport" Judicial Review.....
Date: 15 November 2021 15:51:00

FYI.... nicollette mckenzie

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Manston 'airport' Judicial Review.....

Date:Mon, 15 Nov 2021 13:04:34 +0000

From:Nicollette McKenzie [REDACTED]

To: [REDACTED]

Dear Grant Shapps,

The Examining Authority advised very clearly that no case of 'need' for the reopening of Manston 'Airport' in Kent, with its history of repeated failure, had been proved. In spite of this, the D f T, ignoring its own experts, have given provisional consent. Why?

Many of us private individuals who are local, had no other option but to dig deep, and from our own modest resources, fund the Judicial Review, which is our only recourse. Then we read that the company asking for development permission has been given £8.5m to 'compensate them for the delay in their development plans' ; plans which have yet to be approved; How did this happen? What legal constraints exist that enable RSP to be granted this payment? Why do I feel like the victim of skilled pickpockets?

When the plans were first proposed by RSP, many studies relating to the environment, the air quality, the noise factor, the architecture, the likely impact on wildlife and coastal areas, etc., were presented as evidence of the damage such a reopening would cause. Now it seems the D f T is trying to suggest that so much time has elapsed it might be necessary to produce updated evidence. To me this seems like a war of attrition, carried out , for reasons I cannot fathom, on a group who are trying, in the best interests of Ramsgate and surrounding areas, to stop the reopening of an unwanted, unneeded facility, which, apart from the other convincing reasons, goes against the country's stated aims re climate change.

Please stop this exhausting and debilitating process, and listen to your own experts.

yours faithfully,

Nicollette McKenzie

[REDACTED]